PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.	rom INTE		TION	AL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY					
STUDIO TORTA S.r.L. Via Viott; 9 10121 Torino ITALIE Date of mailing (day/month/year) Date of mailing (day/month/year) O4.05.2004 Applicant's or agent's file reference E-177803 REPLY DUE within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing International application No. PCTEP 0350382 Description of the displaymonth/year) 20.08.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 865C9/40 Applicant AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE O4/ 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:	То:					PCT				
Applicant's or agent's file reference E-1778/03 Applicant's or agent's file reference E-1778/03 REPLY DUE within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing protries of the above date of mailing from the above date of mailing protries of the above date of mailing general protries of the date of the above date of mailing protries of the date of mailing general protries of the date of mailing protries of the date of mailing general protries of the date of the following literas: 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following literas: 1. Seass of the opinion 11. Priority 12. This opinion contains indications relating to the following literas: 13. Basis of the opinion 14. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability 15. Lack of unity of invention 16. V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; 17. Certain decomments cited 18. VII Certain observations on the international application 19. Certain defects in the international application 29. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. 20. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, 21. request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.4 bis. 22. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rule 66.6. 23. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rule 66.6. 24. The final date by which the international preliminary	STUDIO TORTA S.r.L. Via Viotti, 9					WRITTEN OPINION				
Applicant's or agent's file reference E-1778/03 International application No. PCT/EP 03/5/0382 International application No. PCT/EP 03/5/0382 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65C9/4/0 Applicant AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						(PCT Rule 66)				
Applicants or agent's file reference E-1778/03 International application No. PCTEP 0350382 International application No. PCTEP 0350382 International Present Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 865C940 Applicant AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					ŗ	1	04.05.0004			
International application No. Priority Priority						(day/montn/year)	04.05.2004			
PCT/EP 03/50382 20.08.2003 29.08.2002 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 865C9/40 Applicant AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:	1					REPLY DUE	within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing			
Applicant AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:	L					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:	,									
1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:	AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE									
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:										
III	2.									
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application VIII See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary										
IV										
V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.				and industrial applicability						
citations and explanations supporting such statement VI				Lack of unity of invention						
VI Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. The final date by which the international preliminary							nventive step or industrial applicability;			
VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary	=									
 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary 										
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. The final date by which the international preliminary										
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. The final date by which the international preliminary	3.	The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.								
Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary	When?		n?	See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).						
For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary		How	How? By submitting a written re For the form and the lang		ply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. uage of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.					
4. The final date by which the international preliminary	Also:		For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Bule 66.4 his							
4. The final date by which the international preliminary		If no	reply				the basis of this opinion.			
	4.	I. The final date by which the inter		late by which the interna	national preliminary					

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Martínez Navarro, A.

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits) Micheli, M Telephone No. +31 70 340-3606



 Basis of the opinior 	I.	Bas	sis	of	the	op	in	ion
--	----	-----	-----	----	-----	----	----	-----

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"):

	De	escription, Pages	
	1-8	3	as originally filed
	Cla	aims, Numbers	
	1-1	16	as originally filed
	Dra	awings, Sheets	
	1/2	-2/2	as originally filed
2.	Wit lan	th regard to the lang t guage in which the in	lage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of pub	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). elication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any nucl e ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.
			ntly to this Authority in written form.
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that t in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
5.		This opinion has been been considered to g	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
6	Δdd	litional observations	if necessary

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

1,2,7-10,16

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

1-5,7-13,15,16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

ورتيه

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- V.2.1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US-B1-6 419 782 (JOHNSON DAVID A ET AL) 16 July 2002
 - D2: US-B1-6 220 330 (O'BRIEN JR TERRENCE E) 24 April 2001
- V.2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1,2,7-10 and 16 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- V.2.3 The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- V.2.3.1 A method of labelling a succession of containers (30; column 1, line 18), whereby each container (30) is fed along a labelling path (12) through a number of labelling stations (22), each for applying a respective label (36) to a container (30) travelling through the labelling station (22); the method having the steps of assigning a category of containers (30) to each labelling station (22; column 6, lines18-20); identifying each container (30) to assign to the container one of a number of possible types before the container is fed along the labelling path (12; column 8, lines1-6) and only activating each labelling station (22) to apply the label (36) to the container travelling through the labelling station (22) if the container (30) falls within the category of containers assigned to the labelling station (22; column 8, lines 11-17).
- V.2.3.2 D1 also discloses the additional features of claim 2 (scanning station 20), claim 7 (column 8, lines 14-17), claim 8 (column 6, lines 18-20), claim 9 (equivalent to claim 1), claim 10 (equivalent to claim 2) and claim 16 (equivalent to claim 8).
- V.2.4 D2 is in the same way novelty destroying for claims 1,2,9 and 10 at least.
- V.2.5 Furthermore, the subject-matter of claims 3-5, 11-13 and 15 does not involve

an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT, and therefore the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT are not met. The conveyor and the physical feature by which the containers are identified are obviously choosen depending on the container type, e.g. the skilled man would choose a conveyor belt for big carton boxes and a carousel for bottles, and would choose to identify the container on the basis of its size if the containers to be processed are audio tape boxes and video tape boxes.
